

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/838,606	04/19/2001	Timothy M. Schmidl	TI-31457	3520
23494 75	90 08/13/2004		EXAMINER	
TEXAS INST	RUMENTS INCORPOR	KIM, KEVIN		
P O BOX 65547	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX	/5265	•	2634	
1			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/838,606	SCHMIDL ET AL.			
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kevin Y Kim	2634			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNING IN THE COMMUNING IN T	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) If will, by statute, cause the application to becom	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.		
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>19 A<i>pril</i> 2001</u> .				
2a)□		2b) This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ <b>Applicat</b> 9)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6,8-10,12-14,16,17 and Claim(s) 7,11,15,18 and 20 is/are of Claim(s) are subject to restriction Papers The specification is objected to by the	re withdrawn from consideration.  19 is/are rejected.  Djected to.  Ction and/or election requirement.  e Examiner.				
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>04-19-2001</u> is Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abo the correction is required if the drav	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ving(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies</li></ul>	documents have been received. documents have been received of the priority documents have bonal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National Stage			
2) Notice 3) Infor	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o er No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948) Paper PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2634

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 3,5,6,8-10,12,13,14,16,17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gerten et al (US 6,760,319).

Consider claims 1 and 16. Gerten et al discloses a frequency hopping communication system, including a Bluetooth system, comprised of a plurality of communication units (20) including a master unit (MASTER) and one or more of slave units. See Fig. 1. Further, Gerten et al teaches a method/system of reducing the number of RF channels used in the frequency hopping sequence, comprising the steps of

- a) determining, by the master unit, channels having interference
- b) communicating the information of the interfering channels to a remote unit so the remote unit is made to avoid using the interfering channels, i.e., "to set up a reduced hopping sequence that uses less RF channels than the standard hopping sequence." See col. 4, line 48 54 in connection with Fig. 3. See col. 2, lines 63-65 for Bluetooth system.

Art Unit: 2634

Regarding claims 3, 17 and 19, Gerten teaches measuring a received signal strength for identifying channels subject to interference. See col. 5, line 19-24 and Power Measurement Component (66) in Fig.2.

Regarding claim 5, PER is computed by checking CRC information in the header of a received packet.

Regarding claim 6, as described in connection with claim 1, the master sends to the remote unit the channels to be avoided, i.e., "removed."

Regarding claim 8, the master and remote (slave) unit communicates using "the reduced hopping sequence" because communication is performed between the two by using N-M channels, where N is the total number of channels and M is the number of channels to be avoided.

Regarding clam 9, Gerten et al's frequency hopping system includes a Bluetooth system. See col. 2, lines 63-65.

Regarding claim 10, Gerten et al shows a plurality of mobile (slave) units communication with the master unit. The master unit communicates with a remote unit(s) having interference avoidance capabilities by using a less number of channels when some of channels suffer interference while it communicates with a remote unit(s) without the capabilities by using the normal channels. See col. 4, lines 40-51.

Regarding claim 12, as described above the determining interference channels is performed by the master unit.

Regarding claim 13, Gerten et al teaches that any mobile unit may be adapted to measure interference susceptible channels. See col. 10, lines 24-26.

Art Unit: 2634

Regarding claim 14, since channels to be avoided are communicated to the remote unit and the frequency hopping channels constitute the bandwidth, this message is "information on the bandwidth of the RF channels."

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gerten et al as applied to claim 1 above, in view of Malmgren et al (US 6,334,057).

Consider claim 2. Gerten et al disclose all the subject matter claimed except for determining interfering channels by measuring the packet error rate (PER) as opposed to the signal strength measurement of Gertent et al. Malmgren et al teach that the PER is also a well known measure of signal quality, in addition to signal strength measurement, for determining

Art Unit: 2634

whether a channel is susceptible to interference. See col. 5, line 59 – col. 6, line 5. PER could provide a better channel measurement because the transmitted data is evaluated. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to use the PER in the frequency hopping system of Gerten et al as a better indicator of channels subject to interference.

Regarding claim 4 calling for measuring  $E_b/(N_0 + I_0)$  for interference measurement, this is well known a channel quality indicator involving bit error, noise and interference for providing more accurate channel conditions. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to compute use  $E_b/(N_0 + I_0)$  for interference measurement in the frequency hopping system of Gerten et al for the purpose of more accurately determining interfered channels that are to be avoided.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 7, 11,15,18 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Y Kim whose telephone number is 703-305-4082. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM --5PM M-F.

Art Unit: 2634

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 703-305-4714. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

kvk

CHIEH M. FAN PRIMARY EXAMINER